Comment on “Counterintuitive Dispersion Violating Kramers-Kronig Relations in Gain Slabs”

In a recent Letter [1], Wang et al. considered the problem of the propagation of a plane wave through a slab with gain. The electromagnetic properties of the medium are described in the frequency domain in terms of its linear permittivity with a negative imaginary part. The authors considered the movement of singular points (SPs) of the reflection and transmission coefficients over the complex frequency plane. They argue that under certain conditions, SPs (poles) of the Fourier transforms of the reflection and transmission coefficients of the slab may enter the upper half plane of complex frequencies leading to the violation of the conventional Kramers-Kronig (CKK) relations. Then, the authors come to a number of conclusions, including the existence of the SPs in the upper half of the complex frequency plane.

The authors do not properly recognize that the move of SPs to the upper half plane of complex frequencies indicates the onset of lasing. At this moment, the uniqueness of the solution to the Maxwell equations breaks down [2,3] and a nonzero solution arises in the absence of an incident field. This nonzero solution to the linear Maxwell equations corresponds to a self-oscillating solution to the nonlinear Maxwell-Bloch equations, which is a direct indication of the transition to lasing appearing in a real system as a Hopf bifurcation [4]. It is well known that if for a certain value of gain or slab thickness a SP touches the real axis at some frequency $\omega_{\text{SP}}$, then the gain slab becomes a Fabry-Perot resonator with compensated losses [5]. At the frequency $\omega_{\text{SP}}$, the incident wave causes the field to diverge within the slab. Nonlinearity must be taken into account to obtain a bounded, physically reasonable solution. The mechanism of this nonlinearity is the saturation of the gain resulting in the suppression of the inverse population by the field.

The nonlinear interaction of the incident wave with this self-oscillation leads to either a stochastic or regular response depending on the strength of the incident field [4,6]. In any case, a transition from the linear to the nonlinear regime occurs when a SP crosses the real axis. At the transition point, not only the solutions but the gain medium itself begins to change. The solution inside the slab may be represented as a sum of traveling and standing waves. Above the lasing threshold, the standing optical wave inside the gain slab modulates the permittivity of the slab. As a result, the medium can no longer be treated as homogenous. This effect, known as spatial hole burning [4], strongly alters scattering from such a slab.

The authors are correct that an appearance of SPs in the upper half plane leads to the violation of the CKK relations. However, they also claim that “although the existence of the SPs in the upper-half $\omega$ plane breaks the CKK relations, the causality of the gain slab system is always preserved.” This unproved statement contradicts the Titchmarsh theorem, which states that causality and the validity of the CKK relations are equivalent (see, e.g., Ref. [7]). The reason for the coexistence of causality and a SP in the upper half plane is the inapplicability of the linear approach in the domain beyond its applicability.

To summarize, the analysis of plane wave propagation through a gain medium based on a linear description, which leads to a number of unusual conclusions in the Letter [1], is incorrect.
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